UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,358	11/11/2003	Wen-Yi Kuo	. 4928-108.1 US	4626	
	7590 02/01/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR &		EXAM	INER	
12400 WILSHI	RE BOULEVARD		GHEBRETINSAE, TEMESGHEN		
SEVENTH FLO LOS ANGELES	OOR S, CA 90025-1030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	02/01/2007	PAPER		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			$\mathscr{N}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/705,358	KUO, WEN-YI	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Temesghen Ghebretinsae	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence addres	:s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bation.  Try period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABANDE	TON.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this commu  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	an .		
	™ ☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of	allowance except for formal matters,	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims	. •		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-24 is/are pending in the approximate the above claim(s) is/are versions.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-24 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	vithdrawn from consideration.	,	
Application Papers	,		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by		•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Applicate the priority documents have been received. Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stag	je <sup>*</sup>
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summ 948) Paper No(s)/Ma		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03.	5) Notice of Inform Compared to (3)/Ma		

Application/Control Number: 10/705,358 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. It would be of great assistance to the Office if all incoming papers pertaining to a filed application carried the following items:

- 1. Application number (checked for accuracy, including series code and serial no.).
- 2. Group art unit number (copied from most recent Office communication).
- 3. Filing date.
- 4. Name of the examiner who prepared the most recent Office action.
- 5. Title of invention.
- 6. Confirmation number (See MPEP § 503).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph in that the enabling disclosure is not commensurate in scope with the claim (In re Hyatt 218 USPQ 195). The above claim is "single means" claim in that it recites only a single element "a demodulator" followed by particular functional attributes. In fact, the word "means" was not used in the claim yet it was considered a "single means" claim.

### **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,358

Art Unit: 2611

1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 19-24 (19+20= claim1; 19+21; claim 2; 19+22+ claim 3; 19+23= claim 4; and 19+24= claim 5) are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,647,055. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the patent and application discloses a digital wireless rake receiver comprising a device for calculating the pilot signal during an integration window.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ling et al (5,737,327).
- 8. Ling discloses a digital wireless rake receiver comprising a demodulator to calculate the pilot signal during an integration window(see fig.col.5, lines 24-36).

Art Unit: 2611

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 9. Claims 19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wong et al (6,847,832).
- 10. Wong et al. Discloses a rake receiver comprising a demodulator to calculate the pilot signal during an integration window (se figs. 1 and 4).

#### Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temesghen Ghebretinsae whose telephone number is 571-272-3017. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 to 6. The examiner can also be reached on alternate.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel, can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.Ghebretinsae

1/29/07.

Temesghen Ghebretinsae Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611